SUPREME COURT OF WISCONSIN

CASE No.:	2005AP544
COMPLETE TITLE:	
	DaimlerChrysler c/o ESIS,
	Plaintiff-Appellant,
	V.
	Labor and Industry Review Commission and Glenn
	May,
	Defendants-Respondents.
	MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
	2007 WI 15
	Reported at: Wis. 2d, 727 N.W.2d 311
Opinion Filed:	March 30, 2007
SUBMITTED ON BRIEFS:	
ORAL ARGUMENT:	
Source of Appeal:	
Court:	
COUNTY:	
JUDGE:	
JUSTICES:	
CONCURRED:	
DISSENTED:	
Not Participating:	
ATTORNEYS:	

NOTICE

This opinion is subject to further editing and modification. The final version will appear in the bound volume of the official reports.

No. 2005AP544 (L.C. No. 2004CV722)

STATE OF WISCONSIN

IN SUPREME COURT

DaimlerChrysler c/o ESIS,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

FILED

v.

MAR 30, 2007

Labor and Industry Review Commission and Glenn May,

A. John Voelker Acting Clerk of Supreme Court

Defendants-Respondents.

MOTION for reconsideration. Reconsideration denied.

- ¶1 PER CURIAM. DaimlerChrysler moves the court to reconsider its opinion in <u>DaimlerChrysler v. Labor & Industry Review Comm'n</u>, 2007 WI 15, ____ Wis. 2d ____, 727 N.W.2d 311, on the ground that the court based its decision on a statute not in effect when the injury to Glenn May occurred.
 - ¶2 The motion for reconsideration is denied.
- $\P 3$ However, to clarify the <u>DaimlerChrysler</u> opinion, we now add the following footnote at the end of the second sentence of $\P 39$:

- 17 Wisconsin Stat. § 102.32(6)(b) became effective March 30, 2004. Wis. Act 144. It was therefore not in effect at the time of May's accident. We draw on it here not as a statement of the law in 1999, but because it demonstrates that the LIRC's interpretation of § 102.18(1)(d) is reasonable.
- $\P 4$ Accordingly, the motion for reconsideration is denied, without costs.